



www.syntronmh.com

# **Contents** Syntron® Working with Hoppers

Syntron® Vibratory Feeder Models 4
Feeder Hopper Transitions5
Recommended Hopper Design and Feeder Selection6
Calculations7
Ideal Hopper (T = 0.6 x H)
Acceptable Hopper (T = H)9
Excess Throat (T > H)
Flat Front and Rear Wall (T = 0.6 x H)11
Flat Front Wall (T = 0.6 x H)12
Flat Rear Wall (T = 0.6x H)13
Vertical Front and Rear Wall (T = 0.6 x H)14
Vertical Front Wall (T = 0.6 x H)15
Vertical Rear Wall (T = 0.6 x H)16
Reverse Front Wall (T = 0.6 x H)
Ideal Hopper with Correct Taper on Skirts18
Ideal Hopper with No Taper on Skirts19
Ideal Hopper with Reverse Taper on Skirts
Ideal Skirt Clearance
Skirt Clearance Too Wide
Skirt Clearance Too Narrow23
Tubular and Covered Troughs24
Acceptable Rock Box25
Feeder Data Sheet
Inspection Sheet for Hoppers27

The hoppers illustrated in this manual are meant to be examples only. The user and those responsible for choosing the hoppers must satisfy themselves as to the acceptability of each application and use of the hopper. Under no circumstances will Syntron Material Handling be responsible or liable for any damage, including indirect or consequential losses resulting from the use, misuse or application of this information.

The text, illustrations, charts and examples included in this document are intended solely to explain the types of material flow and capacity problems that can result from use of a less than ideal hopper. Due to the many variables associated with specific hopper designs, applications or uses, Syntron Material Handling will not assume responsibility or liability for actual use based upon the data in this document.

This document is copyright protected. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, including electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior express written permission of Syntron Material Handling.

This document is printed in the U.S.A. and is subject to change without notice.



## Syntron Material Handling

## Proven Engineered Products – Complete Material Handling Solutions

Two powerful industry leading brands—Link-Belt<sup>®</sup> and Syntron<sup>®</sup> have come together under a new company name, Syntron Material Handling, LLC, for one goal – better engineered products.

Established in May 2014, Syntron Material Handling (SMH) was built out of the legacies of Link-Belt Company and Syntron Company, formerly owned by FMC Technologies. Today, our 300 skilled employees have a combined 4,212 years of industry knowledge that they put into the SMH product every day. We are dedicated to providing customers with complete material handling solutions.

Let Syntron Material Handling's knowledgeable team help your business with conveying, feeding, screening, elevating, vibratory flow aids, and mining controls of bulk product. Whether optimizing existing systems or starting from the ground-up on new and customized plants or mines, our dedicated staff will provide you with the most efficient and cost-effective solutions.

"Our company structure will be very exciting and fast-paced as we charter our new path. The positive attitudes and skills of our employees, the strength of our products, and our long-term customer relationships are our foundation for success." said CEO Andy Blanchard.

An international leader for innovative solutions, Syntron Material Handling can improve the technology customers are already using. The Link-Belt® expertise and equipment have been instrumental in developing some of the world's largest belt conveyors. The Syntron® feeders are instrumental to supplying energy sources and material handling efforts across the globe.

Levine Leichtman Capital Partners, the new owner of Syntron Material Handling, is committed to the success and growth of the company by investing in engineering capabilities, manufacturing efficiency, and customer service. Although we may have a new name, we still have the same dedicated employees and industry leading engineered products that make us a market leader.

Syntron Material Handling operates two manufacturing facilities in the USA and China.

All of our products are produced to OSHA/MSHA standards and ISO Standard 9001:2008. We are a charter member of CEMA, and active members of NSSGA, NMA, SME, FEMA, and PMMI.



#### Call us today for all your material handling needs.

Saltillo 2730 Hwy 145 South Saltillo, Mississippi 38866 Phone: 662.869.5711 Fax: 662.869.7493 800.356.4898 orders@syntronmh.com **Changshu** #2 Road No. 1 Changshu Export Processing Zone Changshu, Jiangsu, China 215513 Phone: +86 0512.52299002 Fax: +86 0512.52297228

## Syntron<sup>®</sup> Vibratory Feeder Models

### Light-Duty Feeders

F Series	BF Series
F-T0	BF-01
F-T01	BF-2
F-T02	BF-3
F-010	BF-4
F-152	BF-4-LF
F-212	

### Heavy-Duty Feeders

F Series		RF Series	MF Series	
FH-22	FH-24	RF-80	MF-200	MF-300
FH-24-HP	F-330	RF-120	MF-400	MF-500
F-380	F-380-HP		MF-600	MF-800
F-440	F-450		MF-1000	MF-1100
F-480	F-480-HP		MF-1600	MF-2000
F-560	F-660			
F-88				

## **Feeder Hopper Transitions**

Material characteristics such as size distribution, shear properties and cohesiveness generally dictate the configuration of feeder transition hoppers. Material flow velocities vary, depending upon material properties, feeder stroke and operating speed.

Good transition hopper design optimizes flow rate, allowing the most economical choice of a feeder. Improperly designed transition hoppers will substantially reduce feeder capacities.

The **IDEAL HOPPER**, illustrated below, has a **T/H ratio of 0.6** and shows a uniform material flow pattern to the feeder trough. Material at the front and rear of the hopper moves at nearly the same velocity, and the **discharge depth "d"** is nearly equal to the **hopper gate height "H"**. The **IDEAL HOPPER** design allows the most economical feeder to be selected. The **ACCEPTABLE HOPPER** design may require a slightly larger feeder than required for the **IDEAL HOPPER**. This is a result of the non-uniform flow pattern of material at the rear of the hopper.

Material flow velocity and material depth are reduced with a corresponding reduction in feeder capacity. **A T/H RATIO of 0.5 to 1.0 is generally acceptable**. When the T/H RATIO exceeds this range, the material flow patterns distort drastically, significantly reducing feed rates.

Hoppers should be designed as closely as possible to the information presented in this manual. If specific application issues arise, contact Syntron Material Handling and talk with one of our Application Specialists for help in resolving the issue.

#### **Ideal Hopper Design**



## **Recommended Hopper Design and Feeder Selection**

- 1. The **hopper rear wall angle** must be steep enough to permit material flow. Syntron Material Handling recommends 60° ± 2°.
- 2. The **hopper front wall angle** must be just enough to permit material flow. The flow rate on the hopper front wall should be slightly less than the flow rate on the back wall. Syntron Material Handling recommends  $55^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ .
- 3. The throat dimension T for random size material should be a minimum of 2 times the largest particle of material. If the material particles are nearly the same size (near size), T should be a minimum of 4 times the largest particle size to prevent blockage at the throat opening. In all cases, the arc A should exceed 2-1/2 times the largest particle size.
- 4. The gate opening H must be a minimum of 2 times the largest particle of material and should increase proportionately for the desired capacity. The most economical feeder is selected when the throat dimension T = 0.6 x H. If T is greater than H, the material flow pattern is disturbed, resulting in non-uniform flow.
- 5. When adjustable gates are used, the gate must be parallel to the hopper's front wall and must be as close to the front wall as possible. The separation must not exceed 2 inches. The gate should act as an adjustable front wall. Leveling blades and downstream gates must not be used. Horizontal cut of gates should be used to perform feeder maintenance and must not be used to regulate flow.
- 6. For random size material, the inside width of the opening (between skirts) should be a minimum of 2 -1/2 times the largest particle. For near size material, the width should be a minimum of 4 times the largest particle.

 The minimum length of the feeder is determined by projecting the angle of repose for the specific material from the gate point (see illustration on page 5) to the feeder pan plus approximately 6 inches.

**CAUTION: Under certain applications, if hopper is empty initial surge may cause flushing.** For additional information, contact Syntron Material Handling.

- The feeder must not contact any adjacent structure, but must be free to vibrate. Allowance must be made for a decrease in feeder elevation of approximately 2 inches due to static material load. In addition, a 1-inch minimum clearance at the sides, and a 1-1/2-inch clearance on the bottom and back of the feeder must be maintained in both loaded and unloaded conditions.
- 9. The skirts must taper in the direction of flow (diverge from conveying surface) to permit material from jamming and causing additional problems such as spillage and build-up. Skirts must run parallel to trough sides and must be reinforced to resist bulging outward against the trough.

## **Calculations and Formulas**

#### Terms

Capacity	=	C (tph)		
Feeder Width	=	W (inches)		
Gate Factor	=	GF		
Flow Rate =		R ( ft/min)*		
(* See Chart below)				

Discharge Depth	=	d (inches)
Material Density	=	D (lbs/ft3)
Gate	=	H (inches)

#### Formulas

d (in) =	C(tph) x 4800
	[W(in) - 4(in)] x R(ft/min) x D(lbs/ft3)
C (tph) =	[W(in) - 4(in)] x R(ft/min) x D(lbs/ft3) x d(in)
	4800

#### H (in) = GF x d (in)

Syntron Material Handling suggests the following values for GF: If material angle of repose > 35°, GF = 1.3 If material angle of repose < 35°, GF = 1.5

\*Value of R (ft/min)

Electromagnetic Feeders (F Series Models)					
IF Material Size =	<u>OR</u> Trough Slope = Feeder Rate =				
< 4 in	8 to 12°	60 ft/min			
4 to 12 in	4 to 8°	55 ft/min			
> 12 in	0 to 4°	50 ft/min			
Electromechanical Feeders (RF or MF Series Models)					
< 4 in	8 to 12°	55 ft/min			
4 to 12 in	4 to 8°	50 ft/min			
> 12 in	0 to 4°	45 ft/min			

## Ideal Hopper T = 0.6 x H



#### Benefits of Ideal Hopper Design:

- Uniform Flow Pattern
- Maximum Capacity
- Maximum Material Velocity
- Maximum Material Depth
- Optimized Feeder Size
- Reduced potential for material build-up at inlet
- Reduced potential for spillage at back and sides
- Reduced material load on feeder

\*Active material area required to achieve ideal uniform flow patterns. If less, flow pattern will not be uniform and there will be the potential for excess material loads and reduced capacity.

Acceptable Hopper T = H



#### **Ideal Hopper Design:**

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity ~15%
- Reduced Material Velocity ~10%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load on feeder

\*Active material area required to achieve ideal uniform flow patterns. If less, flow pattern will not be uniform and there will be the potential for excess material loads and reduced capacity.

## Excess Throat T > H



#### **Excess Throat Design:**

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity > 20%
- Reduced Material Velocity > 15%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load, possible collapsed suspension coil springs

## Flat Front Wall and Rear Wall T = 0.6 x H



## Flat Front and Rear Wall Design:

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity > 20%
- Reduced Material Velocity > 15%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load, possible collapsed suspension coil springs
- Reduced depth at discharge > 10%

Flat Front Wall T = 0.6 x H



#### Flat Front Wall Design:

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity > 20%
- Reduced Material Velocity > 15%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load, possible collapsed suspension coil springs
- Reduced depth at discharge > 10%

Flat Rear Wall T = 0.6 x H



#### Flat Rear Wall Design:

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity > 20%
- Reduced Material Velocity > 15%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load, possible collapsed suspension coil springs
- Reduced depth at discharge > 10%



#### Vertical Front & Rear Wall Design:

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity > 20%
- Reduced Material Velocity > 15%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load, possible collapsed suspension coil springs
- Reduced depth at discharge > 10%

## Vertical Front Wall T = 0.6 x H



#### Vertical Front Wall Design:

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity > 20%
- Reduced Material Velocity > 15%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load, possible collapsed suspension coil springs
- Reduced depth at discharge > 10%



#### Vertical Rear Wall Design:

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity > 20%
- Reduced Material Velocity > 15%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load, possible collapsed suspension coil springs
- Reduced depth at discharge > 10%

Reverse Front Wall (Chute)



## Reverse Front Wall Design:

- Non-uniform Flow Pattern
- Reduced Capacity > 20%
- Reduced Material Velocity > 15%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Potential for flushing
- Reduced depth at discharge > 10%

## Ideal Hopper Correct Taper on Skirts T = 0.6 x H



#### **Correct Taper of Skirts:**

- Reduced potential for spillage
- Reduced potential for build-up

## Ideal Hopper No Taper on Skirts T = 0.6 x H



#### No Taper with Skirts:

- Increased potential for spillage at sides
- Increased potential for build-up at back
- Increased potential for material jamming under skirts
- Increased potential for higher amperage draw

## Ideal Hopper Reverse Taper on Skirts T = 0.6 x H



#### **Reverse Taper on Skirts:**

- Increased potential for spillage at sides
- Increased potential for build-up at back
- Increased potential for material jamming under skirts
- Increased potential for higher amperage draw

Less clearance at discharge as compared to inlet.

## **Ideal Skirt Clearance**



#### **Ideal Skirt Clearance:**

- Reduced potential for spillage at sides
- Reduced potential for build-up

## Skirt Clearance Too Wide



#### Skirt Clearance Too Wide:

• Decreased Capacity

## **Skirt Clearance Too Narrow**



#### Skirt Clearance Too Narrow:

- Increased potential for feeder contacting structure
- Increased potential for material jamming
- Increased potential for higher amperage draw

## **Tubular and Covered Troughs**



Connections such as dust seals between the trough and adjacent objects must be flexible, preferably of cloth or rubber construction. Note: Connections are optional and furnished by the customer.

## Acceptable Rock Box T = 0.6 x H



Although this illustration is as close to ideal as possible, a rock box can cause non-uniform flow patterns due to material forming the front and rear hopper walls of equal angle. Material flowing over material is much different than material flowing directly on steel hopper walls. When using a rock box, the following results may be expected:

- Reduced Capacity ~ 15%
- Reduced Velocity ~ 10%
- Increased Feeder Size
- Potential for material build-up at inlet
- Potential for spillage at back and sides
- Increased material load

All other problems associated with less than ideal hopper design will be increased when rock boxes are utilized in ways other than that shown on this page.

\* Active material area required to achieve ideal uniform flow patterns. If less, flow pattern will not be uniform and there will be the potential for excess material loads and reduced capacity.



info@syntronmh.com

## Heavy Duty Electromagnetic and Electromechanical Feeder **Data Sheet**

Quantity of Feeders:	uantity of Name or description of material to be handled: eeders:					
Weight (lbs.) per Cubic Foot PCF	Size of Material (Sieve Analysis)	Material Width Max:	Material Length Max:	Material Thickness	Temperature of Ma Temperature of Sur	terial F deg. Max roundings F deg. Max
Moisture Content: %	Angle of Repose	deg.	Minimum feed rate (in tons per hour)	ГРН	Maximum feed rate (in tons per hour)	ТРН
Trough Type: (Sketch if other than flat open pan)       Dimensions Requested         Flat Open Pan       Covered       Tubular       Down Spout       Belt Loader       Diag. Disc         Wide X       "Long X       "High         None Requested (Provide most economical)				sted " Long X " High d (Provide most economical)		
Trough Liners:	UHMW Other		Trough Slope: deg. down deg. up.		Type of Mounting:     Drive Position:       Base     Under trough in reat       Suspension     Over trough in from	
Controller Enclosure: Standard (NEMA- If there are any addition	-) D.C. Input L nal controller requireme	oad Monitorin nts please desc	g 🗌 Proportional 🗌 R cribe:	emote Pot		Municipal) Power: Voltage Cycle Hz
Method of supplying n	naterial to Syntron Feed	er trough:			I	
Feeder discharges into	:					
If an existing hopper, p	provide dimensions and	wall slope. Pro	ovide additional sketch if n	ecessary.		
Hopper Transition:	λ			,		
"T" = "H" =	$\backslash$					
$"B" = \_$				k	Side	Side
Front Angle =	_ Rear	$\backslash$		Front Angle		
$\begin{array}{c} \text{``W''} =  \\ \text{Side Angle} =  \\ \end{array} \qquad \qquad$						
Bottom Gate						
Angle of Repose						
ý statu s						
If there are any unusua	l operating conditions re	equiring specia	l construction, please give	details.		
	1 0					
Customer Type: Us	ser OEM	Resale	Contact:		Email:	
Company Name:			Address: City, State, Zip:		Phone: Fax:	

10204 05/01/14

### **Inspection Sheet for Hoppers**



Material Bed Depth

d = \_\_\_\_\_

Check clearance between skirtboard and pan.

Front =

Sketch material profile from gate to discharge.



Capacity = width x flow x density x d

4800

## Syntron Material Handling

#### **Corporate Office**

P.O. Box 1370 Tupelo, Mississippi 38802 Phone: 662.869.5711 Fax: 662.869.7449

## **Proven Engineered Products – Complete Material Handling Solutions**



 Saltillo

 2730 Hwy 145 South

 Saltillo, Mississippi 38866

 Phone: 662.869.5711

 Fax: 662.869.7493

 Toll Free: 800.356.4898

 info@syntronmh.com

#### Changshu

#2 Road No. 1 Changshu Export Processing Zone Changshu, Jiangsu, China 215513 Phone: +86 0512.52299002 Fax: +86 0512.52297228 info@syntronmh.com